

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>		
	10/750,568		NGUYEN ET AL.		
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>		
	Hal I. Kaplan		2836		

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Hal I. Kaplan.

(2) Kathryn Jennings.

**Date of Interview:** 20 June 2006

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

  

Prior art documents discussed:

Application No. 10/019,920

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Claims 1-3, 5, 10-13, 15, 16, 18-22, 27-29, and 31 rejected; claims 4, 6-9, 14, 17, 23-26, and 30 allowed.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 2:15 p.m.

  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner noted that Application No. 10/019,920, which Applicant cited in IDS (Form PTO-1449), did not match the listed inventor and publication date. Applicant confirmed that the citation was in error and should have been Application No. 11/019,920, which has been considered.